

BOARD OF PHARMACY
MEETING MINUTES
November 7, 2005

ROLL CALL

Richard Zarek, R.P., Chair, called the meeting of the Board of Pharmacy to order at 8:00 a.m. in the Staybridge Room of the Staybridge suite, located at 2701 Fletcher Avenue, Lincoln, NE. Copies of the agenda were sent to Board members and other interested parties prior to the meeting. The following Board members answered the roll call:

Richard Zarek, R.P., Chairperson
C. Curtis Barr, R.P. Vice-Chairperson
Kevin Borchert, R.P., Secretary
Roger Kaczmarek, R.P.
Linda Labenz

A quorum was present and the meeting convened. Also present from the Department were: Becky Wisell, Section Administrator; Vonda Apking, Credentialing Coordinator; Cecilia Curtis-Beard, Credentialing Specialist; Pharmacy Inspectors: Tony Kopf, R.P., Ronald Klein, R.P., and Mike Swanda, R.P.; Duane Newland and Jeff Newman, Investigators and Michael Grutsch, Program Manager, from the Investigations Division; and Lisa Anderson, Assistant Attorney General.

REVIEW OF AGENDA

Additions, Modifications, Reordering and Adoption of Agenda

The Board requested that the following applicants be removed from the consent agenda for further discussion; Eric Clausen, Jason Crandall, Jeremy Popek, Shane Zoucha, Tom Bader, Cynthia Pappan, Gary Crane, ESI Mail Pharmacy Service dba Express Scripts and TAP Pharmaceuticals dba Pharmacy Solutions.

Labenz moved, seconded by Kaczmarek, to approve the agenda with the Chair having the authority to rearrange as needed. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Adoption of the Consent Agenda

Labenz moved, seconded by Barr, to approve the consent agenda as amended. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

DISCIPLINARY INFORMATION (ACTIONS TAKEN AND PENDING)— CONSENT

Name	Actions Taken and/or Pending	Alleged Violation
Dvorak, Lauri J.	Petition for Disciplinary Action 9/21/05	Alleged theft of controlled substances from her place of employment, possession of controlled substances without a valid prescription, failure to secure a Schedule II controlled substance in a locked cabinet.
	Amended Petition for Disciplinary Action 10/12/05	Possession of Ambien obtained without a prescription

Gehrig, Julie	Order to Cease and Desist Unlicensed Practice of Pharmacy 8/17/05	Obtained information from another pharmacy for purposes of a prescription transfer
Kohll, Louis	Petition of Disciplinary Action 5/5/05 Amended Petition for Disciplinary Action 7/19/05 Voluntary Surrender in lieu of discipline 8/11/05	Plead guilty to one federal count of mail fraud, a felony, for mailing a check to a close-door contract distributor, to obtain pharmaceuticals for Unicare & diverting these drugs into the inventories of K.P. & H. retail pharmacies.
Potmesil, Howard Shannon	Petition for: Disciplinary Action and Temporary License Suspension 6/30/05 Order for Temporary License Suspension 7/1/05 and Notice of Hearing	Misrepresentation of material facts in procuring a license, theft of pharmaceuticals from place of employment, possession of Activan for own use without a Rx, admitted to hospital for manic-depression, reported to emergency room staff that he may do harm to himself and family.
Pranno, Faith Mary	Petition for Disciplinary Action 10/4/05	Dispensed a medical order for "Brookhouser Solution" compounded by a pharmacy technician. The solution dispensed was compounded using 176 mg. of Atropine instead of 0.176 mg. Admitted that she was the pharmacist responsible for verifying the accuracy of the medical order.

Non-Disciplinary Information – Actions Taken/Pending – Consent

Name	Actions Taken and/or Pending	Alleged Violation
Allison, Harry	Assurance of Compliance	Accepted returned Opus unit-dose packages of controlled substances from a long-term care facility and then destroyed them at the pharmacy.
Uhlir, Mary	Assurance of Compliance	Allowed a pharmacy technician to contact another pharmacy to conduct a prescription transfer.

(8A) - Pharmacist Intern Applicants with Misdemeanor/Felony Convictions – Consent

**(8B) – Pharmacist Applications with Misdemeanor/Felony convictions or past Disciplinary Action –
Consent**

(8C) – Pharmacist Reinstatement – Inactive to Active – Consent

(8D) – Pharmacist Reinstatement – After Discipline – Consent

(8E) – Mail Service Pharmacy Renewal - Consent

**INVESTIGATIONAL REPORTS, DISCIPLINARY REPORTS, AND CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES
AUDIT REPORTS—CLOSED SESSION**

Labenz moved, seconded by Barr, to close the session at 8:05 a.m. to receive investigation reports, disciplinary reports, and controlled substances audit reports. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Roger Kaczmarek recused himself from meeting at 9:46 a.m.

Curt Barr recused himself from the meeting at 10:15 a.m.

Roger Kaczmarek returned to the meeting at 10:17 a.m.

Curt Bar returned to the meeting at 10:36 a.m.

Roger Kaczmarek recused himself from meeting at 12:25 p.m.

Roger Kaczmarek returned to the meeting at 12:40 p.m.

Barr moved, seconded by Labenz, to reopen the session at 2:00 p.m. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

July 25, 2005

Barr commented that on page 9, under PRACTICE QUESTIONS that “Farmer’s mutual” needs to be changed to “Pharmacists Mutual”. Barr moved, seconded by Kaczmarek, to approve minutes from July 25, 2005 as amended. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

September 12, 2005

Labenz commented that on page 3, under Uniform Licensing Law (ULL) Rewrite – Board Input, third line from the bottom, change sentence that begins with “Labenz commented...” to read “Labenz questioned whether Board members should be actively practicing the profession of pharmacy.” Labenz moved, seconded by Barr, to approve the minutes from September 12, 2005 as amended. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

APPLICATION REVIEW

Barr moved, seconded by Labenz, to approve the pharmacist intern application of Eric Clausen. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Labenz moved, seconded by Kaczmarek, to approve the pharmacist intern application of Jason Crandall. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Borchert moved, seconded by Kaczmarek, to approve the pharmacist intern application of Jeremy Popek on probation for the duration of the intern registration with the following probationary terms and conditions:

- Abstain from alcohol;
- Abstain from personal use or possession of controlled substances and all other prescription drugs unless prescribed for or administered to the Defendant by an authorized licensed practitioner for a diagnosed medical condition;
- Verification from treating practitioner of all prescription medications;
- Report all prescription medications taken;
- Submit to random body fluid screens;
- Comply with treatment recommendations;
- Advise Department of any professional counseling and submit reports;
- Participate in a minimum of 2 NA, AA, or other support group meetings per week;
- Provide copies of all disciplinary proceedings to employer;
- Quarterly employer reports;
- Provide notice of disciplinary proceedings to other states where licensed;
- Provide written notice to Department of employment changes within 7 days;
- Provide written notice to Department of address or phone change within 7 days;
- Comply with all reports, notices or other documentation from the Department;
- Provide written authorization to Department for all treatment/counseling reports;
- Obey all state and federal laws, rules and regulations report violations to Department within seven days;
- Pay any costs associated with ensuring compliance;
- Appear at any meetings of the Board when requested; and
- Other standard probationary language.

Voting aye: Borchert, Kaczmarek, and Zarek. Voting nay: Labenz. Abstain: Barr. Motion carried.

Labenz moved, seconded by Barr, to approve the pharmacist intern application of Shane Zoucha. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Labenz moved, seconded by Barr, to deny Tom Bader a pharmacist license due to misrepresentation on his pharmacist application concerning past disciplinary actions. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Borchert moved, seconded by Labenz, to issue Cynthia Pappan a pharmacist license on probation for five years mirroring restrictions that are currently in effect on her pharmacist intern registration. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Labenz moved, seconded by Barr, to reinstate the pharmacist license of Gary Crane on probation mirroring the probationary terms and conditions as stated in the Settlement Agreement with the Missouri Board of Pharmacy dated October 11, 2001. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Kaczmarek moved, seconded by Labenz, to reinstate the pharmacist license of Jerry Nygren, after Mr. Nygren passes the NAPLEX and the MPJE for Nebraska, on probation for five years with the following probationary terms and conditions:

- Abstain from alcohol;
- Abstain from personal use or possession of controlled substances and all other prescription drugs unless prescribed for or administered to the Defendant by an authorized licensed practitioner for a diagnosed medical condition;
- Verification from treating practitioner of all prescription medications;
- Report all prescription medications taken;
- Submit to random body fluid screens;
- Comply with treatment recommendations;
- Advise Department of any professional counseling and submit reports;
- Participate in a minimum of 2 NA, AA, or other support group meetings per week;
- Provide copies of all disciplinary proceedings to employer;
- Quarterly employer report;
- Provide notice of disciplinary proceedings to other states where licensed;
- Provide written notice to Department of employment changes within 7 days;
- Provide written notice to Department of address or phone change within 7 days;
- Comply with all reports, notices or other documentation from the Department;
- Provide written authorization to Department for all treatment/counseling reports;
- Obey all state and federal laws, rules and regulations report violations to Department within seven days;
- Pay any costs associated with ensuring compliance;
- Appear at any meetings of the Board when requested;
- Shall not be a Pharmacist-in-Charge;
- Shall not supervise pharmacist interns or pharmacy technicians; and
- Other standard probationary language.

Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Labenz moved, seconded by Barr, to renew the Mail Service Pharmacy license of ESI Mail Service Pharmacy Inc. dba Express Scripts. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Labenz moved, seconded by Kaczmarek, to renew the Mail Service Pharmacy license TAP pharmaceuticals dba Pharmacy Solutions. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

PHARMACEUTICAL CARE AGREEMENTS (3) - CONSENT

LEGISLATION

Uniform Licensing Law (ULL) Rewrite

Wisell discussed the revised ULL rewrite. There is still discussion regarding licensure by Endorsement (Section 37 of draft). The Department would grant credentialing by endorsement to an applicant based upon the following: Is licensed in the United States, District of Columbia or U.S. territory, the license is in good standing, there has been no disciplinary actions taken against license, the applicant has good moral character; and the applicant meets the competency requirements to obtain a license. Wisell stated that if there were any specific competency requirements the Board would like added for initial licensure, as well as licensure by endorsement, then those items should be added to the Pharmacy Practice Act.

Kaczmarek stated that some states require specific continuing education courses in certain subjects. Wisell added that in the current ULL, §71-161.09, it lists the different kinds of mechanisms that can be used to demonstrate continued competency. Wisell commented that active practice has been added to the list.

Wisell asked the Board if there were any specific requirements for endorsement in addition to what the Uniform Licensing Law (ULL) draft is proposing. It was discussed that licensure by endorsement would eliminate the problem Nebraska has had in the past with accepting applicants from California and Florida.

Practice Act Changes

Wisell stated that the language from §71.140 would be added to the Pharmacy Practice Act. Apking stated that active practice for a certain number of years is no longer required in the ULL. Barr discussed whether accepting state licensure examination from other states as well as the NAPLEX examination could be addressed in the Pharmacy Practice Act.

Wisell stated that the Board will be approving the following: 1) Continued competency requirements, 2) Requirements for issuing the license, 3) Requirements for renewing a license, 4) Educational programs, 5) Reinstatements, and 6) Grounds for unprofessional conduct. Wisell explained that certain places throughout the draft that the Board will make recommendations to the Department. Zarek asked if the Board could request an Attorney General's opinion if a recommendation means a positive recommendation from the Board.

Wisell stated there are only three entities that can request an Attorney General's opinion, those are a Senator, the Agency Director, and the Governor. The Board cannot ask for the Attorney General's opinion directly. According to procedure, the Board could have that question asked by a Senator, the Agency Director or the Governor. Borchert moved, seconded by Barr, to request the Department to obtain an Attorney General's opinion on the meaning of recommendation and whether it means a positive recommendation from the Board. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Wisell reminded the Board that if the bill is introduced in the 2006 session and passes, then new Board members could be added. The Department has requested that if the bill passes, the effective date should be July 1, 2007. The Board of Health will interview applicants and make their appointments in November of 2007. Wisell stated that she would prefer to have the terms staggered. This would allow for the new member's term to expire at different times. The Board discussed requirements for becoming a Board member and continuing as a Board member throughout their term.

Wisell discussed the deletion of §71-124.01 of the current ULL, regarding Pharmacy Inspectors being required to be a licensed pharmacist and placing this section in the Pharmacy Practice Act since it is specific to the pharmacy profession.

Wisell asked the Board if they would like to review the competency requirements for initial licensure. The ULL is addressing licensure by endorsement; the Board can change the competency requirements for obtaining a license. Wisell questioned whether the Board wanted to add a requirement for active practice within a certain number of years from the date of application. Zarek stated that if a pharmacist has not been actively practicing within the last 1-3 years, the application should go before the Board of Pharmacy. Also, it was discussed that the Pharmacy Practice Act should address "Whether an applicant should meet an active practice requirement or have taken the NAPLEX within the last three years". The Board decided the requirement should be either passing the NAPLEX within the last three years or one year of active practice within the last three years or be Board certified within the last seven years or have 30 hours of acceptable continuing education within the last two years. **Action Item: Staff will write a letter to Dr. Schaefer asking her to request an Attorney General's opinion on the meaning of the word "recommendation".**

REGULATIONS UPDATE

181 NAC 6 Cancer Repository Program Regulations

These regulations have been approved by the Governor and became effective on November 1, 2005. The Department mailed these regulations to all physicians, pharmacies, and hospitals.

172 NAC 128 Regulations Governing the Practice of Pharmacy

These regulations have been approved by the Governor and became effective November 1, 2005. These regulations will be mailed to all pharmacies, pharmacists, and pharmacist interns. The 2006 Pharmacist Renewal notices will be mailed around November 10, 2005. The reason for the delay was waiting for the new regulations to become effective. The new regulations reduced the licensure fee and the renewal fee.

175 NAC 8 Regulations Governing Licensure of Pharmacies

These regulations have been approved by the Governor and became effective September 27, 2005.

LEGISLATION CON'T

Wholesale Drug Distribution Act

The Board agreed to schedule a conference call with Kelly Boryca, Pfizer, to discuss changes to the Wholesale drug Distribution Act. A copy of the letter from the Compressed Gas Association will be forwarded to Ms. Boryca. **Action Item: Staff will forward a copy of the letter from the Compressed Gas Association to Ms. Boryca.**

REGULATIONS UPDATE CON'T

172 NAC 129 Delegated Dispensing Regulations

Wisell stated that a Stakeholder meeting was held on 10/26/05 to resolve some of the issues with the medical gases. Rich Pendleton, FDA Representative, also attended. It was discussed to remove medical gases from the draft and agree to work with those in a separate section in a later date. The Board also agreed with the suggestion.

Wisell stated that the following four areas were addressed at the meeting: 1) labeling, 2) counseling, 3) receiving medical orders, and 4) employee turnover concerning the permits/agreements. Wisell explained that Mr. Pendleton was going to find out if there were any exceptions at the FDA level for oxygen or medical gases. However, in Mr. Pendleton's e-mail that was included in the Board packet, FDA does not have exceptions for patient labeling on medical gases. FDA has allowed each State to dictate how to regulate medical gas distributors. Barr stated that hospitals should be included.

The Delegated Dispensing Act discusses hospitals, medical gas providers, Public Health Clinics and Dialysis Clinics, but the Act is not limited to these professionals. The Act allows for any entity, corporation or individuals to obtain a delegated dispensing permit. The draft does allow for unlicensed individuals to obtain a permit.

§ 71-1,142, subsection 8, defines delegated dispensing. According to the Act, labeling is included but the Act does not make reference to receiving a medical order or counseling. Does the Act allow a pharmacist to delegate labeling, counseling, receiving a medical order, and dispensing? § 71,1,147.33 states that a pharmacy technician cannot receive an oral medical order from the practitioner. Wisell stated that the Board could set certain restrictions because of public safety issues and make any limitations for medical gases. Barr stated that he believed the Act only applied to licensed professionals.

Wisell explained in order for a delegated dispensing permit to be approved, the applicant would need to be one of the four entities stated earlier. The applicant would have to prove public health and welfare is protected and public convenience and necessity is promoted. Roger Brink suggested that the Board might want to review the draft language and not use such specific language for the hospital setting. Borchert suggested deleting the first three lines on 129-003.02B item 1. The Board agreed not to change the rest of the specific language concerning hospitals throughout the draft.

Barr moved, seconded by Kaczmarek, to approve the draft Delegating Dispensing regulations as amended. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

Julie Reno, Nebraska Reproductive Health Program addressed the Board. Wisell explained that Ms. Reno is appearing before the Board to ask for the Board's support in a legislative change that would allow public health clinics to use delegated dispensing. Ms. Reno explained that delegated dispensing would take place in small rural sites in the case of deferred exams, which is part of their operating guidelines. Deferred exams are provided when a patient visits the clinic wanting birth control and staff would then provide them with a birth control method and set up the next examination. The other instance would be a patient seeking emergency contraception. Ms. Reno pointed out that in some of the smaller clinics, there is not a physician on site every day, which would be a conflict with the current statutes. However, there is normally a trained and qualified registered nurse or licensed practical nurse on site who can dispense but the issue is not having a prescriber available to write the actual prescription. Ms. Reno is asking that "on-site" be deleted from the Delegated Dispensing Act.

Barr stated that he would not support this change because there would be no relationship between the prescriber and the patient. Reno explained that the deferred exam includes a complete physical exam. There would be a relationship between the prescriber and the patient because an appointment is scheduled with the physician for a later date. The goal of the public health clinic is to ensure that the patient has the birth control pills to prevent an unwanted pregnancy. Ms. Reno stated any suggestions the Board has that would assist them in meeting the requirements would be appreciated.

Borchert suggested limiting the pills to a one-month supply. This would allow the patient time to visit the physician. Borchert also suggested that the public health clinic could contact the prescriber and have him/her review the patient's chart to determine how much of a supply of pills the patient needs. Barr and Borchert agreed.

Ms. Reno thanked the Board for their time, effort and guidance.

Action Item: Mail new regulations to Board of Pharmacy members and send copies by e-mail.

BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICINE & SURGERY

The Board of Veterinary Medicine and Surgery and the Board of Pharmacy held a special meeting. Since a majority of the Board of Veterinary Medicine and Surgery are in attendance their portion of the meeting was called to order at 4:05 p.m. The following Board of Veterinary Medicine and Surgery members answered roll call Steven Wright, D.V.M., David Ylander, D.V.M., and Gail Miller.

Ylander asked for the Board of Pharmacy's continued support regarding the veterinarians being exempted from the Wholesale Drug Distribution Act. Ylander explained that if the word 'human' were deleted from the Wholesale Drug Distribution Act, this would not allow veterinarians to be exempted. Barr asked why veterinarians would not want to be protected from counterfeit drugs by requiring the pedigree for non-human drugs. Wisell explained that Jeff Santema was trying to make the language consistent throughout the Acts.

Ylander explained that some companies are selling products without a prescription. Barr suggested that Ylander file a complaint.

Duane Newland, Investigator, commented that the complaint would need to provide proof of the action. Zarek explained that companies have to have a prescription, otherwise they are breaking the law. Zarek also suggested that if this occurs again, that the veterinarians should check to see whether the company is requiring a prescription. Zarek stated that the veterinarians could also call the State Patrol regarding any company that is shipping controlled substances without a prescription. Wisell stated that all complaints should be directed to Investigations.

Don Cane Jr., D.V.M. joined the meeting at 4:42 p.m.

Wright discussed the fact that the veterinarians are exempt from the Wholesale Drug Distributor Act but are covered under the Pharmacy Act. Cane questioned § 71.1,142 (29) why it included veterinarians. Wisell stated veterinarians are included in the definition of practitioner but they are exempt from the practice of pharmacy in § 71-1,142 (2).

Ylander emphasized the importance of keeping an open dialog with Board of Pharmacy. Barr expressed that he too wants to continue a working relationship with the Board of Veterinary Medicine and Surgery.

Board of Veterinary Medicine and Surgery meeting adjourned at 4:53 p.m.

BOARD OF NURSING - PAIN MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

Barr moved, seconded by Kaczmarek, to discuss this topic at the January 2006 Board meeting. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

PRESCRIPTION DRUG MONITORING PROGRAM (PMP) GRANT

Barr moved, seconded by Labenz, to discuss this topic at the January 2006 Board meeting. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, Labenz, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Motion carried.

PATIENT SAFETY RFP

Apking stated that there were a couple of sections that need clarification. Once clarification of language is received, the RFP can be sent for Department approval and once approved be published in newspapers.

PHARMACIST MANPOWER SURVEY CONTRACT

The contract has already been signed.

OTHER MECHANISMS FOR DETERMINING CONTINUED COMPETENCY

Wisell asked the Board to refer to § 71-161.09 which lists alternatives that could be used to determine continued competency. The Board discussed board certification, self-assessment and continuing education. Kaczmarek stated that requiring a certain percentage of hours would be beneficial in the areas of medication errors and pharmacy law as part of the 30 continuing education requirement. The Board determined that requiring three hours of each area would be sufficient.

Barr stated that passing the PSAM should count for at least 15 hours in addition to the two areas Kaczmarek suggested. The Board discussed that board certification should meet the continuing competency requirements for at least 7 years. Zarek pointed out that a pharmacist would have to be certified at renewal to count for meeting the continuing competency requirement. The professional Board members would like to take the PSAM. Wisell discussed that the question has been forwarded to Department legal to see whether the Board of Pharmacy could pay the fee for the pharmacist members to take the PSAM.

Barr stated that there is a difference between Board certification and the certificate programs offered by Creighton University. Hospodka added that the Board of Pharmacy must first approve the certificate program provided by an accredited pharmacy program and that a pretest and posttest is required.

2006 MEETING DATES

The Board scheduled the following dates for the next Board of Pharmacy meetings:

Tuesday, January 24th
Monday, March 13th
Monday, May 8th
Thursday, July 20th
Monday, September 11th
Monday, November 6th

LETTER FROM ACORDA THERAPEUTICS ON ZANAFLEX

The Board stated that Acorda should already know that the Zanaflex needs to be A-B rated in order to be used in Nebraska.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

MPJE Committee

Kaczmarek and Klein will be attending the next MPJE State Specific Review offered by National Association for Boards of Pharmacy (NABP) in San Diego, California on January 19-22, 2006.

Labenz left the meeting at 5:45 p.m.

Tri-Partite Committee on Internship

Nothing to report at this time.

Formulary Advisory Committee

The board received a letter from Ally Dering-Anderson, PharmD, has resigned from the Committee and the Board will need to appoint a new pharmacist to be their representative on this Committee. **Action Item: Wisell will talk with Ms. Dering-Anderson and let the Board know.**

NABP FALL EDUCATION CONFERENCE

The Board members are unable to attend.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Budget Status Report

Wisell reviewed with the Board the budget status report as of September 30, 2005.

FY2006 Budget Approval

The Board reviewed the proposed FY06 budget. Barr moved, seconded by Borchert, to approve the FY06 proposed budget. Voting aye: Barr, Borchert, Kaczmarek, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Absent: Labenz. Motion carried.

ACTION ITEMS

The board reviewed the Action Item list.

CORRESPONDENCE

Borcher thanked the Board for allowing him to be the Board representative at the accreditation site visit at Creighton University. Borcher stated that he learned that the distant learning program was comparable to campus-based program. Barr added that there was no difference of NAPLEX scores between the distant learning program and the campus-based program.

ADJOURNMENT

Barr moved, seconded by Kaczmarek, to adjourn the meeting at 6:34 p.m. Voting aye: Barr, Borcher, Kaczmarek, and Zarek. Voting nay: None. Absent: Labenz. Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signature on file with the Department)

Kevin Borcher, R.P., Secretary
Board of Pharmacy